

FIRST 5 SACRAMENTO COMMISSION

2750 Gateway Oaks Dr., Suite 330
Sacramento, CA 95833

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

ACTION SUMMARY

Monday, July 16, 2018 – 1:00 PM

Members: Steve Wirtz (Chair), David Gordon (Vice Chair), Christina Elliott

Advisory Committee Member(s): Cid Van Koersel, Jeffery Rabinovitz

Staff: Julie Gallelo, Carmen Garcia-Gomez, Gail Syputa

Consultants: Applied Survey Research, LPC Consulting

Absent: None

1. Call to order and Roll Call
Action: Meeting was called to order at 1:03PM. A quorum was established.
2. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Matters
Action: Introduction of Jennifer Osalbo from Sacramento City Unified School District, School Readiness program, no comments.
3. Approve Draft Action Summary of May 21, 2018
Action: Van Koersel/Gordon. Approved as recommended.
4. Commission Staff Report
Action: Carmen Garcia-Gomez provided updates to members. Some points of discussion:
 - The Family Information Form has been changed for the new fiscal year. It has been distributed to the contractors, who have been trained on the updated version.
 - All nine Birth and Beyond FRCs will have access to the Persimmony database beginning in September. Several transition meetings have been held with LPC, CAPC and First 5 to ensure a smooth transition from the current system to Persimmony.
 - The committee discussed the need for programs no longer funded by First 5 to continue evaluating the services and sharing results. Along the same lines, the sustainability of these programs was discussed. Commissioner Elliott discussed the need to continue to engage and partner with county agencies.
5. General Evaluation Update
 - Applied Survey Research
 - LPC Consulting**Action:** Topics were discussed from provided handouts.
6. Approve Draft RAACD Report Outline
Action: Elliott/Gordon. Approved as recommended but to include changes that were discussed.

7. Discuss School Readiness Data Briefs

- Playgroup Analysis
- Summer Camp Analysis

Action: Penny Huang led the discussion that included:

- Is there guidance (standards) on curriculum?
- What kind of curriculum (social/emotional) is being implemented?
- Any comparison of characteristics of responders (footnote)?
- Add description of intent of playgroup.
- Different from site to site but take best practices from each.
- Sites are doing what they want to do – how can you compare?
- An appendix should be included to show context of groups.
- Type and intent of playgroup and expectations should be included.
- Drop-in groups should not be evaluated – can't connect outcome to what happened. Evaluate formal programs only.
- What new tools can be developed for the next three years?
- No grey and light blue colors and font is not ADA compliant.
- If it has a curriculum is it a summer camp or summer school?

8. Discuss Persimmony's Functions (from May meeting)

Commissioner Wirtz didn't need further discussion.

Action: None.

9. Committee Member Comments

- a. Miscellaneous
- b. Future Agenda Items/Presentations

Action: None.

Adjourned: 3:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Gail Syputa, Clerk
First 5 Sacramento Commission